

Special Community Safety & Strong Communities Scrutiny

Councillors: D. Bennett, D. Broadley, M. Campbell, H. Christer, G. Coulson, R. Ellis, G. C. Glass, R. Hemsley, J. C. Hunter, L. Marshall (Chair), I. McElhone, D. V. McMahon, S. E. Mellor, P. Murray, R. Ord, T. Parry (Vice-Chair), S. J. Rothwell, F. Todd, D. Walton, T. Westgarth, J. Wilson

Dear Councillor,

Your attendance is invited at a meeting of the Special Community Safety & Strong Communities Scrutiny to be held in the Council Chamber, Civic Centre, Consett on 31st July 2007 at 6.00 p.m. for consideration of the undernoted agenda.



MIKE CLARK

Chief Executive Officer

Agenda

1 **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

To receive any disclosure by Members of personal interests in matters on the agenda, identify the item on the agenda, the nature of any interest and whether the Member regards the interest as prejudicial under the terms of the Code of Conduct.

2. **COMMUNITY SAFETY & STRONG COMMUNITIES WORK PROGRAMME 2007-2008.**

To consider the report of the Chief Executive Officer (Herewith 'A').

Attached Documents:

[COMMUNITY SAFETY & STRONG COMMUNITIES WORK PROGRAMME
2007-2008. \(A\)](#)

Agenda prepared by Karen Monaghan, Democratic Services 01207 218243

email: k.monaghan@derwentside.gov.uk

TITLE:	COMMUNITY SAFETY & STRONG COMMUNITIES WORK PROGRAMME 2007/08
TO/ON:	COMMUNITY SAFETY & STRONG COMMUNITIES SCRUTINY PANEL – 31ST JULY 2007
BY:	CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
PORTFOLIO:	C.D. CHRISTER/A. TAYLOR
STATUS:	REPORT

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

- 1.1 The purpose of the report is to inform Members of responses to the potential items for a work programme for the above panel and potential items for future scrutiny.

2. BACKGROUND

- 2.1 At the meeting of the panel held on the 26th June 2007 Member requested a matrix be developed which would identify potential items for scrutiny and to which Members could mark their priority items, the appropriate type of review, willingness to participate in sub-groups and potential timescales for reporting and completion of the various tasks.
- 2.2 Eleven responses were received from members of the panel and a summary of these responses follows. The list shown reflects the priorities as suggested by the respondents.

Priority	Type of Review	Willingness to participate in sub-group	Timescale for completion	Regularity of reports
1 Anti-Social Behaviour Protocols	Full Scrutiny	8 no. Members	12 months	Range from monthly to one of scrutiny session
2 Town and Village Centres	Monitoring/ Officer Report	5 no. Members	12 months	Quarterly
3 Criminal Damage	Task and Finish/Ad Hoc Review	1 no. Member	12 months /ongoing	2 per year

Priority	Type of Review	Willingness to participate in sub-group	Timescale for completion	Regularity of reports
4 Enforcement	Monitoring/ Officer Report	2 no. Members	12 months /ongoing	Quarterly
5 Community Involvement – Review of C.E.N. and Role of Community Partnerships	Task and Finish/Ad Hoc Review	1 no. Member	12 months	Quarterly
6 Community Calls For Action	Full Scrutiny	3 no. Members	12 months /ongoing	Quarterly or as and when
7 Children and Young People Services	Task and Finish	2 no. Members		As required
8 Concessionary Fares Review	Monitoring/ Officer Report		12 months	2 per annum
9 Information Protocols with External Agencies, Police, Fire, Health etc.	Monitoring/ Officer Report	1 no. Member	As required	As required
10 Access to Services	} } } } } } } } } } Not given as priority in responses			
10 Equality and Diversity				
10 Member Initiative Fund				

3. **CONCLUSION/RECOMMENDATIONS**

- 3.1 Members are invited to confirm the agreed priorities and instruct appropriate officers to commence suggested reviews in discussion with Members identified as willing to participate in scrutiny reviews,

Mike Clark
Chief Executive Officer

MH/PH
18th July 2007

For further information on the details of this report, please contact: Malcolm Hole,
Executive Support & Scrutiny Manager (tel. 01207 218029)

TITLE:	COMMUNITY SAFETY & STRONG COMMUNITIES WORK PROGRAMME 2007/08
TO/ON:	COMMUNITY SAFETY & STRONG COMMUNITIES SCRUTINY PANEL – 31ST JULY 2007
BY:	CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
PORTFOLIO:	C.D. CHRISTER/A. TAYLOR
STATUS:	REPORT

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

- 1.1 The purpose of the report is to inform Members of responses to the potential items for a work programme for the above panel and potential items for future scrutiny.

2. BACKGROUND

- 2.1 At the meeting of the panel held on the 26th June 2007 Member requested a matrix be developed which would identify potential items for scrutiny and to which Members could mark their priority items, the appropriate type of review, willingness to participate in sub-groups and potential timescales for reporting and completion of the various tasks.
- 2.2 Eleven responses were received from members of the panel and a summary of these responses follows. The list shown reflects the priorities as suggested by the respondents.

Priority	Type of Review	Willingness to participate in sub-group	Timescale for completion	Regularity of reports
1 Anti-Social Behaviour Protocols	Full Scrutiny	8 no. Members	12 months	Range from monthly to one of scrutiny session
2 Town and Village Centres	Monitoring/ Officer Report	5 no. Members	12 months	Quarterly
3 Criminal Damage	Task and Finish/Ad Hoc Review	1 no. Member	12 months /ongoing	2 per year

