	2005/06	6 comparisor	IS		2006/07 F	Results		Targets	for the next	3 years
BVPI No Description	Top 25% of Districts nationally	Bottom 25% of Districts nationally	Easington 2005-06 Result	Easington 2006-07 Target	Easington 2006-07 unaudited Outturn	Improving?	Hit Target?	2007-08 Target	2008-09 Target	2009-10 Target
<b>BV2a</b> The level (if any) of the Equality Standard	N/A	N/A	Level 2	Level 2	Level 2	$\rightarrow$	✓	Level 3	Level 3	Level 5
BV2b The duty to OORPORATE HEA equality	73% LTH	42%	58%	60%	58%	$\rightarrow$	×	58%	69%	69%
<b>BV3</b> The % of citizens satisfied with the overall service provided	60%	50%	51%	72%	56%	$\uparrow$	×	N/A	N/A	60%
<b>BV4</b> % of complainants satisfied with the handling of their complaint	38%	32%	28%	45%	44%	$\uparrow$	×	N/A	N/A	55%
<b>BV8</b> % of invoices paid by the authority within 30 days	97.30%	92.20%	98.74%	99%	Top Quartile 98.42%	$\checkmark$	×	99%	99%	99%
BV9 % of Council Tax collected	98.53%	97.40%	96.26%	98.50%	96.55%		×	98.50%	98.60%	98.70%

BVPI No Description	Top 25% of Districts nationally	Bottom 25% of Districts nationally	Easington 2005-06 Result	Easington 2006-07 Target	Easington 2006-07 unaudited Outturn	Improving?	Hit Target?	2007-08 Target	2008-09 Target	2009-10 Target
BV10 % of business rates received	99.30%	98.40%	97.22%	99.20%	97.82%	$\uparrow$	×	99.20%	99.20%	99.20%
<b>BV11a</b> % of top 5% of earners that are women	31.25%	18.79%	11.90%	16%	15.56%	$\uparrow$	×	17%	17.50%	18.00%
<b>BV11b</b> % of top 5% of earners who are from black and ethnic minorities	3.37%	0%	0%	2%	0%	$\rightarrow$	×	2%	2%	2%
<b>BV11c</b> Top 5% of earners that have a disability	5.91%	0%	4.76%	5%	Top Quartile 6.67%	$\uparrow$	$\checkmark$	7%	7.50%	8%
<b>BV12</b> No of working days or shifts lost through sickness absence	8.29	10.92	8.66	8.50	8.59	<b>↑</b>	×	8	7.50	7.50
<b>BV14</b> % of employees retiring early excluding ill health	0%	0.83%	0.79%	0.45%	Top Quartile 0%	$\uparrow$	$\checkmark$	0 %	0%	0%
<b>BV15</b> % of employees retiring on grounds of ill health as a % of total workforce	0%	0.42%	0.20%	0%	0.70	$\checkmark$	×	0%	0%	O%

BVPI No Description	Top 25% of Districts nationally	Bottom 25% of Districts nationally	Easington 2005-06 Result	Easington 2006-07 Target	Easington 2006-07 unaudited Outturn	Improving?	Hit Target?	2007-08 Target	2008-09 Target	2009-10 Target
BV16a % of Council workers declaring they are disabled BV16b	4.37%	2.13%	3.22%	4.50%	4.06%	$\uparrow$	×	4.50%	5%	5.50%
% of economically active disabled people in the district	N/A	N/A	29%	N/A	29%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>BV17a</b> % of Council workers from ethnic minority communities	2.7%	0.8%	0.5%	0.5%	0.2%	$\mathbf{V}$	×	0.5%	0.6%	0.7%
<b>BV17b</b> Economically active people in the District from ethnic minority communities	N/A	N/A	0.8%	N/A	0.8%	N/A	N/A	This BVPI	is deleted fro 2007	om 1 <sup>st</sup> April
<b>BV156</b> % of Council buildings open to the public in which all public areas are suitable for and accessible to disabled people	N/A	N/A	22.58%	50%	27.27%		×	42.25%	60%	75%

BVPI No Description	Top 25% of Districts nationally	Bottom 25% of Districts nationally	Easington 2005-06 Result	Easington 2006-07 Target	Easington 2006-07 unaudited Outturn	Improving?	Hit Target?	2007-08 Target	2008-09 Target	2009-10 Target
<b>BV63</b> Average SAP energy efficiency rating of Council owned dwellings	69	64	63	66	64		×	67	70	73
<b>By64 SING</b> Number of private sector vacant dwellings returned into occupation or demolished during the year through council action	38	4	108	145	Top Quartile 213		✓	71	28	28
BV66a Proportion of rent collected as % of rent due	98.84%	97.41%	98.57%	98.70%	Top Quartile 99.49%	$\uparrow$	~	99.53%	99.54%	99.55%
<b>BV66b</b> Number of local authority tenants with more than 7 weeks of (gross) rent arrears as a % of total number of council tenants	3.39%	7.35%	8.11%	6.50%	8.56%	$\checkmark$	×	7.68%	7.12%	6.54%
BV66c % of local authority tenants	13.55%	35.81%	29.35%	25%	36.06%	$\checkmark$	×	28%	24%	22%

BVPI No Description	Top 25% of Districts nationally	Bottom 25% of Districts nationally	Easington 2005-06 Result	Easington 2006-07 Target	Easington 2006-07 unaudited Outturn	Improving?	Hit Target?	2007-08 Target	2008-09 Target	2009-10 Target
in arrears who have had Notices Seeking Possession served										
<b>BV66d</b> % of local authority tenants evicted as a result of rent arrears	0.15%	0.44%	0.31%	0.25%	0.43%	$\mathbf{h}$	×	0.38%	0.30%	0.25%
BV74 (i) Satisfaction of tenants with overall service provided: all tenants	85%	77.50%	66.30%	75%	72%	$\uparrow$	×	N/A	N/A	85%
BV74 (ii) Satisfaction of tenants with overall service provided: black and ethnic minority tenants	85.75%	61.50%	Not enough respondents	Insufficient historical data	Not enough respondents	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Insufficient historical data
<b>BV74 (iii)</b> Satisfaction of tenants with overall service provided: non- black and ethnic minority tenants	85%	78%	66.30%	75%	73%		×	N/A	N/A	85%

BVPI No Description	Top 25% of Districts nationally	Bottom 25% of Districts nationally	Easington 2005-06 Result	Easington 2006-07 Target	Easington 2006-07 unaudited Outturn	Improving?	Hit Target?	2007-08 Target	2008-09 Target	2009-10 Target
BV75 (i) Satisfaction of tenants with opportunities to participate in management and decision making in housing services	70%	59%	55.50%	54%	65%	$\boldsymbol{\leftarrow}$	✓	N/A	N/A	85%
BV75 (ii) Satisfaction of tenants with opportunities to participate in management and decision making in housing services: black and ethnic minorities	75%	50%	Not enough respondents	Insufficient historical data	Not enough respondents	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Insufficient historical data
<b>BV75 (iii)</b> Satisfaction of tenants with opportunities to participate in management and decision making in housing services: non-black and ethnic minorities	70%	59%	55.50%	54%	65%		✓	N/A	N/A	85%

BVPI No Description	Top 25% of Districts nationally	Bottom 25% of Districts nationally	Easington 2005-06 Result	Easington 2006-07 Target	Easington 2006-07 unaudited Outturn	Improving?	Hit Target?	2007-08 Target	2008-09 Target	2009-10 Target
<b>BV164</b> Compliance with CRE's code of practice in rented housing and following the Good Practice standards for social landlords	N/A	N/A	No	Yes	No	$\rightarrow$	×	This BVPI	is deleted fro 2007	om 1 <sup>st</sup> April
<b>BV184a</b> Proportion of Council homes which were non- decent at 01.04.06	12%	39%	Not available	72%	81%	$\checkmark$	×	90%	80%	63%
<b>BV184b</b> % change in proportion of Council non- decent homes between 01.04.06 and 01.04.07	28.9%	1.6%	4.4%	3.2%	-6.6%	$\checkmark$	×	1.0%	13.3%	22.1%
<b>BV212</b> Average time taken to re-let council homes	27	49	84	40	60	$\uparrow$	×	55	45	35

BVPI No Description	Top 25% of Districts nationally	Bottom 25% of Districts nationally	Easington 2005-06 Result	Easington 2006-07 Target	Easington 2006-07 unaudited Outturn	Improving?	Hit Target?	2007-08 Target	2008-09 Target	2009-10 Target
BV183a Average length of stay in bed and breakfast accommodation	1.12	4.93	0	0	Top Quartile O	$\rightarrow$	✓	This BVPI	is deleted fro 2007	om 1 <sup>st</sup> April
BV183b Average length of Stay in nostel accommodation	0.00	18.48	0	0	Top Quartile O	$\rightarrow$	$\checkmark$	0	0	0
<b>BV202</b> The number of people sleeping rough on a single night within the area of the local authority	0	4	6	0	1	$\mathbf{\uparrow}$	✓	0	0	0
<b>BV203</b> % change in the average number of families placed in temporary accommodation under homelessness legislation compared with the previous year	-17.87	22.22	138.46%	-38%	Top Quartile -25.81%		×	This BVPI	is deleted fro 2007	om 1 <sup>st</sup> April
BV213 Number of homelessness cases prevented	5	1	3	3	1	$\checkmark$	×	6	6	6

BVPI No Description	Top 25% of Districts nationally	Bottom 25% of Districts nationally	Easington 2005-06 Result	Easington 2006-07 Target	Easington 2006-07 unaudited Outturn	Improving?	Hit Target?	2007-08 Target	2008-09 Target	2009-10 Target
BV214 Repeat homelessness	0%	4.26%	2.50%	2%	2.86%	$\checkmark$	×	This BVPI	is deleted fro 2007	om 1 <sup>st</sup> April
BV76a No claimants visited per 1000 caseload	N/A	N/A	274.26	300	319.01	$\uparrow$	✓	This BVPI	is deleted fro 2007	om 1 <sup>st</sup> April
BV76b No. fraud investigators per HOUSUNG BENER	N/A	N/A	0.32 <b>BENEFITS</b>	0.32	0.32	$\rightarrow$	$\checkmark$	0.32	0.32	0.32
BV76c No. fraud investigations per 1000 caseload	N/A	N/A	98.75	80	80.99	$\checkmark$	~	81	81	81
<b>BV76d</b> No. prosecutions and sanctions per 1000 caseload	N/A	N/A	3.26	4.00	6.38	$\uparrow$	$\checkmark$	6.38	6.38	6.38
<b>BV78a</b> Average time taken to process new claims	25.5	37.8	32.5	25	30.8	$\uparrow$	×	25	23	20
<b>BV78b</b> Average time taken to process notification of change of circumstances	8.5	16.7	6.3	6	Top Quartile 6.3	$\rightarrow$	×	6	6	6

BVPI No Description	Top 25% of Districts nationally	Bottom 25% of Districts nationally	Easington 2005-06 Result	Easington 2006-07 Target	Easington 2006-07 unaudited Outturn	Improving?	Hit Target?	2007-08 Target	2008-09 Target	2009-10 Target
BV79a Accuracy of Benefits calculation	99.00%	96.80%	100%	100%	98.60%	$\leftarrow$	×	100%	100%	100%
<b>BV79b</b> % recoverable overpayments recovered during the year	79.46%	59.37%	50.97%	55%	49.78%	$\checkmark$	×	55%	55%	55%
BV79b (ii) HB overpayments recovered as a % of HB overpayment debt	41.22%	28.35%	33.89%	40%	39.12%	<	×	42%	42%	44%
BV79 (iii) HB overpayments written off as % HB overpayment debt	N/A	N/A	16.68%	17%	7.80%	$\uparrow$	✓	7%	6%	5%
BV80a Satisfaction with contacts/access in the Benefits office	N/A	N/A	80%	85%	74%	$\checkmark$	×	N/A	N/A	85%
BV80b Satisfaction with the service in the benefits office	N/A	N/A	77%	85%	75%	$\checkmark$	×	N/A	N/A	85%
BV80c Satisfaction with the Benefits telephone service	N/A	N/A	74%	80%	71%	$\checkmark$	×	N/A	N/A	80%

BVPI No Description	Top 25% of Districts nationally	Bottom 25% of Districts nationally	Easington 2005-06 Result	Easington 2006-07 Target	Easington 2006-07 unaudited Outturn	Improving?	Hit Target?	2007-08 Target	2008-09 Target	2009-10 Target
BV80d										
Satisfaction with							4.0			
the staff in the	N/A	N/A	79%	85%	78%		X	N/A	N/A	85%
Benefits office										
BV80e										
Satisfaction with						$\downarrow$	4 -			
clarity of Benefits	N/A	N/A	67%	75%	61%		X	N/A	N/A	75%
forms	-	-					-	-	-	
BV80f										
Satisfaction with										
the time taken for	N/A	N/A	73%	70%	66%		X	N/A	N/A	70%
the Benefits	-	-					-	-	-	
decision										
BV80g										
Overall										
satisfaction with	N/A	N/A	80%	85%	76%		X	N/A	N/A	85%
the Benefits										
service										
BV82a (i)										
% of household										
waste that is	21.72%	14.79%	11.77%	11%	13.12%		$\checkmark$	13%	14%	15%
recycled						_	•			
BV82a (ii) Total										
tonnage of	9082.98	5279.84	4995.57	4197	5616.34		$\checkmark$	5694	6132	6570
household waste	WASTE 8		NESS				▼			

BVPI No Description	Top 25% of Districts nationally	Bottom 25% of Districts nationally	Easington 2005-06 Result	Easington 2006-07 Target	Easington 2006-07 unaudited Outturn	Improving?	Hit Target?	2007-08 Target	2008-09 Target	2009-10 Target
BV82b (i) % of household waste that is composted	14.67%	2.68%	15.15%	16%	Top Quartile 20.85%	<	$\checkmark$	21%	23%	25%
BV82b (ii) Total tonnage of household waste that is composted	6048.83	933.96	6432.17	4926	Top Quartile 8924.00	4	~	8849	9691	10534
BV84 Kg collected of household waste per head	381.0kg	442.7kg	457.0kg	462kg	458.7kg	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	462kg	462kg	462kg
<b>BV84b</b> % change from the previous year in the no. kgs of household waste collected per head of the population	-3.29%	2.43%	-4.23%	1%	+0.37%	$\checkmark$	✓	0%	0%	0%
BV86 Cost of waste collection per household	£40.28	£52.88	£48.13	£43.95	£43.85	1	$\checkmark$	£47.60	£49.25	£49.80
BV89 % satisfied with cleanliness standard in their area	74%	65%	50%	70%	69%	$\uparrow$	×	N/A	N/A	75%
<b>BV90a</b> People satisfied with the waste collection service	86%	73%	89%	95%	90%		×	N/A	N/A	90%

BVPI No Description	Top 25% of Districts nationally	Bottom 25% of Districts nationally	Easington 2005-06 Result	Easington 2006-07 Target	Easington 2006-07 unaudited Outturn	Improving?	Hit Target?	2007-08 Target	2008-09 Target	2009-10 Target
BV90b % of people satisfied with recycling	76%	68%	59%	70%	67%	$\uparrow$	×	N/A	N/A	75%
<b>BV91a</b> % of population served by kerbside collection of recyclables (one recyclate)	100%	94.0%	99.5%	99%	Top Quartile 100%		✓	100%	100%	100%
<b>BV91b</b> % of population served by kerbside collection of recyclables (two recyclates)	100%	92.3%	99.5%	99%	Top Quartile 100%	$\mathbf{\uparrow}$	✓	100%	100%	100%
<b>BV199</b> Proportion of relevant land having combined deposits of litter and detritus	8%	19%	22%	19%	15%	$\uparrow$	✓	13%	10%	8%
<b>BV199b</b> % of land and highways with unacceptable levels of graffiti	0%	4%	11%	8%	8%	$\uparrow$	~	6%	4%	2%
BV199c % land/highways with unacceptable levels of fly- posting	0%	1%	2%	0%	1%		×	0%	0%	0%

BVPI No Description	Top 25% of Districts nationally	Bottom 25% of Districts nationally	Easington 2005-06 Result	Easington 2006-07 Target	Easington 2006-07 unaudited Outturn	Improving?	Hit Target?	2007-08 Target	2008-09 Target	2009-10 Target
<b>BV199d</b> Reduction in incidents/increase in enforcement actions in relation to fly-tipping	N/A	N/A	4	1	1		✓	1	1	1
BV166										
Enforcement best practice score for environmental health and trading standards	98.7%	80%	96.6%	96.6%	Top Quartile 100%	$\uparrow$	✓	100%	100%	100%
EXTRONMENT &		MENTAL H	EALTH							
of potential concern" with respect to land contamination	N/A	N/A	396	380	370	$\uparrow$	✓	360	350	340
<b>BV216b</b> Number of sites of concern identified for remediation as a % of all "sites of potential concern"	8%	1%	3%	7%	7%	$\uparrow$	✓	2%	2%	3%
BV217 % of pollution control improvements to existing installations completed on time	100%	85%	100%	100%	Top Quartile 100%	$\rightarrow$	✓	100%	100%	100%

BVPI No Description	Top 25% of Districts nationally	Bottom 25% of Districts nationally	Easington 2005-06 Result	Easington 2006-07 Target	Easington 2006-07 unaudited Outturn	Improving?	Hit Target?	2007-08 Target	2008-09 Target	2009-10 Target
BV218a % of new reports of abandoned vehicles investigated within 24 hrs of notification	96.12%	71.58%	86.04%	100%	90.67%	✦	×	100%	100%	100%
<b>BV218b</b> % of abandoned vehicles removed within 24 hrs from the point at which the authority is legally entitled to remove the vehicle	93.95%	56.53%	93.42%	100%	Top Quartile 96.36%		×	100%	100%	100%
<b>BV106</b> % of new homes built on brownfield land	89.67%	57.36%	85.12%	65%	87.58%	$\uparrow$	✓	90%	90%	90%
<b>BV109a</b> Major Planning applications determined in 13 weeks Govt target 60%	74.75%	58.05%	64.29%	60%	63.89%	$\checkmark$	✓	69%	75%	75%

BVPI No Description	Top 25% of Districts nationally	Bottom 25% of Districts nationally	Easington 2005-06 Result	Easington 2006-07 Target	Easington 2006-07 unaudited Outturn	Improving?	Hit Target?	2007-08 Target	2008-09 Target	2009-10 Target
<b>BV109b</b> Minor Planning applications determined in 8 weeks	80.39%	68.60%	66.78%	65%	68.69%	✦	✓	74%	82%	82%
Govt target 65% BV109c Other Planning applications determined in 8 weeks	91.61%	83.85%	79.26%	80%	80.83%		✓	92%	92%	92%
Govt target 80% BV111 % of applicants satisfied with the Planning service	N/A	N/A	89%	75%	86%	$\checkmark$	✓	N/A	N/A	86%
<b>BV200a</b> Submission of the LDS by 28 <sup>th</sup> March 2005 and thereafter maintain a 3-year rolling programme?	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	$\rightarrow$	✓	Yes	Yes	Yes
BV200b Meeting the milestones which the current Local Development Scheme sets out	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	$\rightarrow$	✓	Yes	Yes	Yes

BVPI No Description	Top 25% of Districts nationally	Bottom 25% of Districts nationally	Easington 2005-06 Result	Easington 2006-07 Target	Easington 2006-07 unaudited Outturn	Improving?	Hit Target?	2007-08 Target	2008-09 Target	2009-10 Target
<b>BV200c</b> Publication of an annual planning report by 31 <sup>st</sup> December each year	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	$\rightarrow$	✓	This BVPI	is deleted fro 2007	om 1 <sup>st</sup> April
BV204 % of appeals allowed against the Council's decision to refuse planning applications	24.0%	37.0%	25%	30%	54.6%	$\checkmark$	×	25%	25%	25%
BV205 Planning quality of service checklist	88.9%	72%	100%	100%	Top Quartile 100%	$\rightarrow$	$\checkmark$	100%	100%	100%
<b>BV119a</b> % of residents satisfied with sports & leisure facilities	65%	55%	48%	60%	52%	$\uparrow$	×	N/A	N/A	60%
BV119e Cof residents Satisfied with parks and open spaces	ted serv	/ICĘŞ <sub>%</sub>	67%	70%	67%	$\rightarrow$	×	N/A	N/A	75%
<b>BV219a</b> Total number of conservation areas in the local authority area	N/A	N/A	4	4	4	$\rightarrow$	✓	This BVPI	is deleted fro 2007	om 1 <sup>st</sup> April

BVPI No Description	Top 25% of Districts nationally	Bottom 25% of Districts nationally	Easington 2005-06 Result	Easington 2006-07 Target	Easington 2006-07 unaudited Outturn	Improving?	Hit Target?	2007-08 Target	2008-09 Target	2009-10 Target
<b>BV219b</b> % of conservation areas with an up to date character appraisal	26%	0%	0%	50%	Top Quartile 50%	$\mathbf{\uparrow}$	✓	75%	100%	100%
<b>BV219c</b> % of conservation areas with published management proposals	5.50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	$\rightarrow$	✓	This BVPI	is deleted fro 2007	om 1 <sup>st</sup> April
<b>BV126</b> Number of domestic burglaries per 1000 households	5.7	9.6	9.9	9	11.9	$\checkmark$	×	11.6	N/A	N/A
BV127a Violent crime per COMMUNITY SAF 1000 population	ET <sup>∦1</sup> & <sup>1</sup> WE		20.0	10.6	20.3	$\mathbf{h}$	×	10.2	N/A	N/A
BV127b Robberies per 1000 population	0.2	0.7	0.5	0.3	0.4	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$	×	0.3	N/A	N/A
BV128 Vehicle crimes per 1000 population	6.4	10.5	11.6	9.8	11.7	$\checkmark$	×	11.6	N/A	N/A
<b>BV174</b> Racial incidents recorded by the LA per 100,000 population	N/A	N/A	5.38	3	4.29	$\uparrow$	✓	20	30	40

BVPI No Description	Top 25% of Districts nationally	Bottom 25% of Districts nationally	Easington 2005-06 Result	Easington 2006-07 Target	Easington 2006-07 unaudited Outturn	Improving?	Hit Target?	2007-08 Target	2008-09 Target	2009-10 Target
<b>BV175</b> % of racial incidents that resulted in further action	100%	100%	100%	100%	Top Quartile 100%	$\rightarrow$	✓	100%	100%	100%
BV225 Actions against Domestic violence	N/A	N/A	81.8%	90.9%	81.8%	$\rightarrow$	×	90.9%	100%	100%
BV226a Total amount spent on advice and guidance services provided by external organisations	N/A	N/A	£104,667	£108,854	£108,854		✓	£113,208	£116,604	£120,102
BV226b % monies spent on advice and guidance services provision which was given to organisations holding the CLF quality mark	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	100%	$\rightarrow$	✓	100%	100%	100%
<b>BV226c</b> Total amount spent on advice & guidance in the areas of housing, welfare benefits and consumer matters	N/A	N/A	£61,800	N/A	£119,610	$\uparrow$	N/A	£122,600	£125,670	£128,800