

## BVPI Results 2007 –8

BVPI's shaded in pink will be NI's from April 08

BVPI No Description	2006/07 comparisons				2007/08 Results		
	Top 25% of Districts nationally	Bottom 25% of Districts nationally	Easington 2006-07 Result	Easington 2007-08 Target	Easington 2007-08 unaudited Outturn	Imp?	Hit Target
<b>Corporate Health</b>							
<b>BV2a</b> The level (if any) of the Equality Standard	N/A	N/A	Level 2	Level 3	Level 2	→	✘
<b>BV2b</b> The duty to promote race equality	79%	53%	58%	58%	63%	↑	✓
<b>BV8</b> % of invoices paid by the authority within 30 days	98%	93%	98.42%	99%	Top Quartile 99.07%	↑	✓
<b>BV9</b> % of Council Tax collected	98.60%	97.35%	96.55%	98.50%	96.27%	↓	✘
<b>BV10</b> % of business rates received	99.36%	98.53%	97.82%	99.20%	97.03%	↓	✘
<b>BV11a</b> % of top 5% of earners that are women	33.31%	20.39%	15.56%	17%	15.56%	→	✘
<b>BV11b</b> % of top 5% of earners who are from an ethnic minority	3.70%	0%	0%	2%	0%	→	✘
<b>BV11c</b> Top 5% of earners that have a disability	6.25%	0%	6.67%	7%	Top Quartile 13.33%	↑	✓
<b>BV12</b> No of working days or shifts lost through sickness absence	8.08	10.65	8.59	8	9.27	↓	✘

BVPI No Description	Top 25% of Districts nationally	Bottom 25% of Districts nationally	Easington 2006-07 Result	Easington 2007-08 Target	Easington 2007-08 unaudited Outturn	Imp?	Hit Target
<b>BV14</b> % of employees retiring early excluding ill health	0%	0.98%	0%	0%	Top Quartile 0%	↑	✓
<b>BV15</b> % of employees retiring on grounds of ill health as a % of total workforce	0%	0.39%	0.70%	0%	0.17%	↑	✗
<b>BV16a</b> % of Council workers declaring they are disabled	5.25%	2.39%	4.06%	4.50%	3.58%	↓	✗
<b>BV16b</b> % of economically active disabled people in the district	N/A	N/A	29%	N/A	29%	N/A	N/A
<b>BV17a</b> % of Council workers from an ethnic minority	3.1%	0.8%	0.2%	0.5%	0.47%	↑	✗
<b>BV156</b> % of Council buildings open to the public and are suitable for and accessible to disabled people	N/A	N/A	27.27%	42.25%	39.39%	↑	✗
<b>Housing</b>							
<b>BV63</b> Average SAP energy efficiency rating of Council owned dwellings	72	66	64	67	67	↑	✓
<b>BV64</b> No. of private sector vacant dwellings returned into occupation/ demolished through council action	55	4	213	71	Top Quartile 63	↓	✗
<b>BV66a</b> Proportion of rent collected as % of rent due	98.81%	97.53%	99.49%	99.53%	98.55%	↓	✗

BVPI No Description	Top 25% of Districts nationally	Bottom 25% of Districts nationally	Easington 2006-07 Result	Easington 2007-08 Target	Easington 2007-08 unaudited Outturn	Imp?	Hit Target
<b>BV66b</b> Percentage local authority tenants with more than 7 weeks of rent arrears	3.43%	6.26%	8.56%	7.68%	5.36%	↑	✓
<b>BV66c</b> % of local authority tenants in arrears who have had Notices Seeking Possession served	13.61%	32.65%	36.06%	28%	Top Quartile 12.26%	↑	✓
<b>BV66d</b> % of local authority tenants evicted as a result of rent arrears	0.17%	0.43%	0.43%	0.38%	0.18%	↑	✓
<b>BV184a</b> Proportion of Council homes which were non- decent at 01.04.06	10%	33%	81%	90%	89%	↓	✓
<b>BV184b</b> % change in proportion of Council non- decent homes between 01.04.06 and 01.04.07	32.9%	3.7%	-6.6%	1.0%	3.2%	↑	✓
<b>BV212</b> Average time taken to re-let council homes	25	47	60	55	41	↑	✓
<b>Homelessness</b>							
<b>BV183b</b> Average length of stay in hostel accommodation	0	15.48	0	0	Top Quartile 0	→	✓
<b>BV202</b> The number of people sleeping rough on a single night within the area of the local authority	0	3	1	0	1	→	✗

BVPI No Description	Top 25% of Districts nationally	Bottom 25% of Districts nationally	Easington 2006-07 Result	Easington 2007-08 Target	Easington 2007-08 unaudited Outturn	Imp?	Hit Target
<b>BV213</b> Number of homelessness cases prevented	4	1	1	6	Top Quartile 4	↑	✘
<b>Housing Benefits &amp; Council Tax Benefits</b>							
<b>BV76b</b> No. fraud investigators per 1000 caseload	N/A	N/A	0.32	0.32	0.32	→	✓
<b>BV76c</b> No. fraud investigations per 1000 cases	N/A	N/A	80.99	81	71.54	↓	✘
<b>BV76d</b> No. prosecutions and sanctions per 1000 cases	N/A	N/A	6.38	6.38	6.20	↓	✘
<b>BV78a</b> Average time taken to process new claims	24.0	33.2	30.8	25	26.03	↑	✘
<b>BV78b</b> Average time taken to process notification of change of circumstances	7.1	13.8	6.3	6	Top Quartile 5.6	↑	✓
<b>BV79a</b> Accuracy of Benefits calculation	99.20%	97%	98.60%	100%	Top Quartile 100%	↑	✓
<b>BV79b</b> % recoverable overpayments recovered during the year	81.71%	64.63%	49.78%	60%	63.41%	↑	✓
<b>BV79b (ii)</b> HB overpayments recovered as a % of HB overpayment debt	39.02%	28.51%	39.12%	42%	Top Quartile 49.13%	↑	✓
<b>BV79 (iii)</b> HB overpayments written off	N/A	N/A	7.80%	7%	7.48%	↑	✘

BVPI No Description	Top 25% of Districts nationally	Bottom 25% of Districts nationally	Easington 2006-07 Result	Easington 2007-08 Target	Easington 2007-08 unaudited Outturn	Imp?	Hit Target
<b>Environmental Waste &amp; Cleanliness</b>							
<b>BV82a (i)</b> % of household waste that is recycled	24.19%	16.88%	13.12%	14%	14.95%	↑	✓
<b>BV82a (ii)</b> Total tonnage of household waste that is recycled	10069.95	5827.27	5616.34	5694	6320.78	↑	✓
<b>BV82b (i)</b> % of household waste that is composted	17.97%	4.84%	20.85%	20%	4.27%	↓	✗
<b>BV82b (ii)</b> Total tonnage of household waste that is composted	7513.87	1705.08	8924.00	8849	1806.74	↓	✗
<b>BV84</b> Kg collected of household waste per head	380.8	444.6	458.7	462	449.8kg	↑	✓
<b>BV84b</b> % change from the previous year in the no. kgs of household waste collected per head	-1.87%	2.63%	+0.37%	0%	-1.94%	↑	✓
<b>BV86</b> Cost of waste collection per household	£42.14	£55.48	£43.20	£47.60	£52.33	↓	✗
<b>BV91a</b> % of population served by kerbside collection of recyclables (one recyclate)	100%	96%	100%	100%	Top Quartile 100%	→	✓
<b>BV91b</b> % of population served by kerbside collection of recyclables (two recyclates)	100%	95.2%	100%	100%	Top Quartile 100%	→	✓
<b>BV199</b> Proportion of relevant land having combined deposits of litter and detritus	6%	15%	15%	13%	13%	↑	✓

BVPI No Description	Top 25% of Districts nationally	Bottom 25% of Districts nationally	Easington 2006-07 Result	Easington 2007-08 Target	Easington 2007-08 unaudited Outturn	Imp?	Hit Target
<b>BV199b</b> % of land and highways with unacceptable levels of graffiti	0%	4%	8%	6%	4%	↑	✓
<b>BV199c</b> % land/highways with unacceptable levels of fly- posting	0%	1%	1%	0%	Top Quartile 0%	↑	✓
<b>BV199d</b> Reduction in incidents/increa se in enforcement actions in relation to fly- tipping	1	3	1	1	3	↓	✗
<b>Environment &amp; Environmental Health</b>							
<b>BV166</b> Enforcement best practice score for environmental health and trading standards	100%	90%	100%	100%	Top Quartile 100%	→	✓
<b>BV216a</b> Number of “sites of potential concern” with respect to land contamination	N/A	N/A	370	360	358	↑	✓
<b>BV216b</b> Number of sites of concern identified for remediation as a % of all “sites of potential concern”	10%	2%	7%	2%	4%	↓	✓
<b>BV217</b> % of pollution control improvements to existing installations completed on time	100%	94%	100%	100%	Top Quartile 100%	→	✓
<b>BV218a</b>							

BVPI No Description	Top 25% of Districts nationally	Bottom 25% of Districts nationally	Easington 2006-07 Result	Easington 2007-08 Target	Easington 2007-08 unaudited Outturn	Imp?	Hit Target
% of new reports of abandoned vehicles investigated within 24 hrs of notification	98.22%	81.03%	90.67%	100%	92.16%	↑	✘
<b>BV218b</b> % of abandoned vehicles removed within 24 hrs from the point at which the authority is legally entitled to remove the vehicle	97.76%	72.57%	96.36%	100%	96.15%	↓	✘
<b>Planning</b>							
<b>BV106</b> % of new homes built on brownfield land	91%	60%	87.58%	90%	Top Quartile 93.89%	↑	✓
<b>BV109a</b> Major Planning applications determined in 13 weeks Govt target 60%	80.71%	66.67%	63.89%	69%	69.44%	↑	✓
<b>BV109b</b> Minor Planning applications determined in 8 weeks Govt target 65%	83.66%	70.29%	68.69%	74%	78.08%	↑	✓
<b>BV109c</b> Other Planning applications determined in 8 weeks Govt target 80%	92.57%	85.20%	80.83%	92%	90.75%	↑	✘
<b>BV200a</b> Submission of the LDS by 28 <sup>th</sup> March 2005 and a subsequent 3 year rolling programme?	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	→	✓
<b>BV200b</b> LDF milestones met	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	No	↓	✘

BVPI No Description	Top 25% of Districts nationally	Bottom 25% of Districts nationally	Easington 2006-07 Result	Easington 2007-08 Target	Easington 2007-08 unaudited Outturn	Imp?	Hit Target
<b>BV204</b> % of appeals allowed against the Council's decision to refuse planning applications	25.0%	36.8%	54.6%	25%	Top Quartile  14%	↑	✓
<b>BV205</b> Planning quality of service checklist	100%	88.9%	100%	100%	Top Quartile 100%	→	✓
<b>Culture &amp; Related Services</b>							
<b>BV219b</b> % of conservation areas with an up to date character appraisal	35.07%	2.08%	50%	75%	Top Quartile  75%	↑	✓
<b>Community Safety &amp; Well Being</b>							
<b>BV126</b> Number of domestic burglaries per 1000 households	5.0	10.1	11.9	11.6	11.4	↑	✓
<b>BV127a</b> Violent crime per 1000 population	11.4	18.8	20.3	10.2	15.5	↑	✗
<b>BV127b</b> Robberies per 1000 population	0.2	0.7	0.4	0.3	Top Quartile 0.2	↑	✓
<b>BV128</b> Vehicle crimes per 1000 population	6.2	10.7	11.7	11.6	10.4	↑	✓
<b>BV174</b> Racial incidents recorded by the LA per 100,000 population	N/A	N/A	4.29	20	2.12	↓	✗
<b>BV175</b> % of racial incidents that resulted in further action	100%	100%	100%	100%	Top Quartile 100%	→	✓
<b>BV225</b> Actions against Domestic violence	N/A	N/A	81.8%	90.9%	90.9%	↑	✓



BVPI No Description	Top 25% of Districts nationally	Bottom 25% of Districts nationally	Easington 2006-07 Result	Easington 2007-08 Target	Easington 2007-08 unaudited Outturn	Imp?	Hit Target
<b>BV226a</b> Total amount spent on advice and guidance services provided by external organisations	N/A	N/A	£108,85 4	£113,20 8	£113,208	↑	✓
<b>BV226b</b> % monies spent on advice and guidance services provision which was given to organisations holding the CLF quality mark	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	100%	→	✓
<b>BV226c</b> Total amount spent on advice & guidance in the areas of housing, welfare benefits and consumer matters	N/A	N/A	£119,61 0	£122,60 0	£170,970	↑	✓