

## **DURHAM COUNTY COUNCIL**

At an **Ordinary Meeting** of the County Council held in the **The Main Hall - Spennymoor Leisure Centre** on **Wednesday 23 June 2021** at **10.00 am**

**Present:**

### **Councillor W Stelling in the Chair**

Councillors E Adam, R Adcock-Forster, V Andrews, J Atkinson, P Atkinson, B Avery, B Bainbridge (Vice-Chair), A Batey, K Batey, A Bell, C Bell, R Bell, G Binney, J Blakey, D Boyes, D Brown, L Brown, J Cairns, J Chaplow, R Charlton-Lainé, J Charlton, I Cochrane, J Cosslett, R Crute, M Currah, S Deinali, T Duffy, K Earley, J Elmer, K Fantarrow, L Fenwick, C Fletcher, D Freeman, J Griffiths, O Gunn, D Hall, C Hampson, D Haney, A Hanson, K Hawley, P Heaviside, T Henderson, S Henig, J Higgins, L Holmes, C Hood, A Hopgood, D Howarth, J Howey, C Hunt, G Hutchinson, A Jackson, M Johnson, N Jones, P Jopling, C Kay, L Kennedy, C Lines, L Maddison, R Manchester, C Martin, E Mavin, B McAloon, M McGaun, D McKenna, M McKeon, I McLean, S McMahan, J Miller, B Moist, D Mulholland, D Nicholls, J Nicholson, D Oliver, R Ormerod, E Peeke, R Potts, P Pringle, J Purvis, J Quinn, S Quinn, A Reed, I Roberts, M Roberts, K Robson, K Rooney, J Rowlandson, A Savory, E Scott, P Sexton, K Shaw, A Shield, J Shuttleworth, M Simmons, A Simpson, T Smith, M Stead, A Sterling, D Stoker, T Stubbs, A Surtees, D Sutton-Lloyd, F Tinsley, S Townsend, C Varty, E Waldock, M Walton, A Watson, M Wilkes, M Wilson, S Wilson, D Wood, R Yorke and S Zair

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors B Coult, L Hovvels, B Kellett, C Marshall, L Mavin, S McDonnell, P Molloy, G Richardson and P Taylor

Prior to the commencement of the meeting the Chair reported with regret the passing of former Durham County Councillor and Alderman Brian Walker who represented the Lumley Electoral Division from 1981 until 2008. Members gave a moments reflection out of respect to Brian.

### **1 Minutes**

The minutes of the meeting held on 26 May 2021 were confirmed by the Council as a correct record and signed by the Chair.

## 2 Declarations of interest

Councillor M Idwal-Roberts declared a pecuniary interest in the Motion in the name of Councillor R Yorke

Councillor M McGaun declared an interest in Agenda Item No. 9 as the Lanchester Neighbourhood Plan was within his electoral division.

Councillor D Oliver declared an interest in Agenda Item No. 9 as the Lanchester Neighbourhood Plan was within his electoral division.

In response to a question from Councillor S Townsend the Head of Legal and Democratic Services confirmed that Councillor Idwal-Roberts had received legal advice and it was a matter for him to determine whether or not he had an interest to declare.

Councillor F Tinsley declared an interest in Agenda Item No 9 as former Chair of Greater Willington Town Council.

Councillor O Gunn declared an interest in Agenda Item No 9 as the Oakenshaw Neighbourhood Plan was within her electoral division.

Councillor R Crute moved to suspend the relevant Standing Orders to allow sufficient time to debate the Motions on Notice at Agenda Item No 10. The Head of Legal and Democratic Services sought clarity that Councillor Crute moved to suspend the time allowed for Motions on Notice. Councillor Crute replied that he moved to suspend Standing Orders 4.2 and 10.7 to extend both the time allowed for the meeting and the time allowed for discussion of Motions on Notice at Agenda Item 10.

Councillor A Surtees seconded Councillor Crute's motion and requested a recorded vote

Councillor R Bell requested that the two requests be voted on separately. There was no objection to extending the time allowed for Motions but was objection to extending the time allowed for the meeting.

Council **agreed** the suspension of Standing Order 10.7 which related to the time allowed for the discussion of Motions.

A vote was taken on the Motion to suspend Standing Order 4.2.

### **For the Motion**

Councillors E Adam, R Adcock-Forster, J Atkinson, P Atkinson, A Batey, K Batey, G Binney, J Blakey, D Boyes, J Chaplow, R Charlton-Lainé, I

Cochrane, R Crute, S Deinali, K Earley, L Fenwick, C Fletcher, J Griffiths, O Gunn, C Hampson, A Hanson, T Henderson, S Henig, J Higgins, C Hood, G Hutchinson, M Johnson, C Kay, L Kennedy, R Manchester, D McKenna, M McKeon, I McLean, S McMahan, J Miller, D Mulholland, D Nicholls, P Pringle, J Purvis, S Quinn, I Roberts, K Shaw, T Smith, A Surtees, F Tinsley, S Townsend, C Varty, E Waldock, M Wilson, S Wilson, D Wood and R Yorke.

### **Against the Motion**

Councillors B Avery, B Bainbridge, A Bell, C Bell, R Bell, D Brown, L Brown, J Cairns, J Charlton, J Cosslett, M Currah, T Duffy, J Elmer, K Fantarrow, D Freeman, D Haney, K Hawley, P Heaviside, L Holmes, A Hopgood, D Howarth, J Howey, C Hunt, A Jackson, N Jones, P Jopling, C Lines, L Maddison, C Martin, E Mavin, B McAloon, M McGaun, B Moist, J Nicholson, D Oliver, R Ormerod, E Peeke, R Potts, J Quinn, A Reed, M I Roberts, K Robson, K Rooney, J Rowlandson, A Savory, E Scott, P Sexton, A Shield, J Shuttleworth, M Simmons, A Simpson, M Stead, W Stelling, A Sterling, D Stoker, T Stubbs, D Sutton-Lloyd, M Walton, A Watson, M Wilkes and S Zair.

Councillor Crute sought advice. The Council had agreed to suspend Standing Order 10.7 which extended the time for debating Motions on Notice but had not agreed to suspend Standing Order 4.2 to extend the length of the meeting. Councillor Crute asked what would happen if the time for the meeting had been reached and consideration of the Motions on Notice had not been completed. The Head of Legal and Democratic services replied that the meeting would continue for 2½ hours. If at the end of this time the Council was still dealing with Motions on Notice the Motion being dealt with at that time would be put to a vote and any remaining Motions would be considered at the next Council meeting in the order they were currently on the agenda.

### **3 Chair's Announcements**

The Chair informed Council that his chosen charity to support during his term of office would be Willow Burn Hospice at Lanchester. The staff and volunteers at the Hospice did an amazing job, providing care, comfort and compassion at the end of life, supporting not only patients but also their families and friends at a time when they need it most.

The Chair asked that staff and Members consider enrolling on the Pennies from Heaven scheme which allowed staff and Members to donate to the charity via payroll deductions. Monthly salaries were rounded down to the nearest pound with the pennies donated to the Willow Burn Hospice.

Further details about the scheme would be emailed from the Civic Office following the meeting.

#### **4 Leader's Report**

The Council noted a report from the Leader of the Council as follows:

The Leader welcomed Members to the first full council meeting under the new Joint Administration. Despite the change in leadership, the council's current focus remained its response to the pandemic.

A steep rise in infection rates had meant the easing of national restrictions had been paused for four weeks in order to allow more time to deliver the vaccination programme. With this in mind, it was vital that vigilance was maintained. The Delta variant, which accounted for the majority of new cases of coronavirus, was more transmissible, so everybody needed to take extra care in following public health guidance.

Plans to transform Bishop Auckland into a world-class visitor destination of national importance had moved a step closer, with the announcement that the Council had been successful in bidding for a £33.2 million share of the government's Stronger Towns Fund.

The wide-ranging proposals received further endorsement when Robert Jenrick, Secretary of State for Housing, Communities and Local Government, visited the town earlier in the month. The minister, who took a tour of sites including the Auckland Tower, Auckland Castle and park, the Market Place and Newgate Street, described the Stronger Town Board's vision for Bishop Auckland as "one of the most impressive projects" he had seen anywhere in the country.

A visit had also taken place from Lord Callanan, Minister for Climate Change and Corporate Responsibility, who paid a visit to homes in Chilton to see how work to install free low carbon external wall insulation and renewable heating systems was progressing.

Durham County Council was named local authority of the year at the Northern Housing Awards, recognising its housing strategy and work to tackle homelessness. It followed the authority's work to deliver more housing and investment in local services for communities as part of the County Durham Housing Strategy.

Mobile and home-based businesses had until the end of the month to apply for financial support designed to help them through the coronavirus pandemic. Earlier this year, limited fixed funding was made available by the Government through the Additional Restrictions Grant which could be used

to fund a discretionary policy. Councils were expected to give financial assistance to businesses in the hospitality, leisure, accommodation and personal care sectors which had not had any previous support. In rolling out its discretionary policy, the council extended the support to businesses in shared commercial spaces, taxi drivers, registered childcare providers, childminders as well as driving instructors. Last month, the Council also extended the ARG support beyond the original deadline, giving businesses until the end of June to claim.

The Council had launched its annual recruitment drive for apprentices, with opportunities available across a wide range of occupations, from highways, gardening and bricklaying to business administration, human resources and commercial procurement. The council was awarded a place in the government's Top 100 Apprenticeships Employers, recognising its extensive apprenticeship programme.

This week saw the reopening of Gala Theatre, Durham and Empire Theatre, in Consett, which had both been closed since the beginning of the first lockdown in March 2020. Both venues had undergone a facelift, with more than £1.4 million being spent on refurbishments. Social distancing measures were in place and customers were being asked to follow public health advice on Hands. Face. Space. while visiting.

Some of the Council's foster carers had been talking about their experiences to promote Foster Care Fortnight. The council urgently needed foster carers, with more than 900 children currently in care of some kind in County Durham. It was hoped that the Council's foster carers would help inspire others to give a child a loving home.

Those who pass through Durham City would have noticed that the Council's floral display at Gilesgate also had a fostering theme this year. Children from Durham Gilesgate Primary School in the city joined with the fostering service and the civic pride and clean and green teams to plant the display, which also supported the Durham in Bloom campaign.

This week, thousands of schoolchildren were making some noise for Make Music Day UK's Big Play event. Durham County Music Service kicked off the celebrations in the area with a free Big Sing event at Ushaw College on Monday but activities were set to continue throughout the week.

This month, the Council had launched a new recycling scheme enabling residents to drop off small electrical or battery-operated items at one of 17 collection points across the county. Run in partnership with Valpak, AO.com and County Durham Furniture Help Scheme, the project helped prevent items being thrown out as waste and offers the opportunity for them to be recycling into something new.

The highly anticipated Durham Brass festival would take place next month, running from Wednesday 14 to Sunday 18 July. Although the Government had decided to delay Stage 4 of the national roadmap out of lockdown, 'Brass' was largely an outdoor programme and social distancing arrangements mean it could still go ahead as planned. A £724,000 Culture Recovery Fund Grant from Arts Council England had been used to set up an outdoor stage at Durham Racecourse, where people would be able to enjoy three days of free live music.

Nominations were open for the 2021 County Durham Environment Awards, the annual celebration of projects that demonstrated great design, environmental guardianship and community spirit across the county. Hosted by the County Durham Environment and Climate Change Partnership, the Awards recognised the efforts of a wide range of organisations, communities and individuals. Details of how to enter were available on the County Council website.

Councillor C Kay had agreed to remain in the role of Cycling Champion for the County Council. Councillor Kay had been a great ambassador for promoting cycling on behalf of the Council and the Leader thanked him for agreeing to continue.

## **5 Questions from the Public**

One public question had been received from Ms T Tucker, a member of the public. The question related to a Motion passed by Durham County Council regarding fuel poverty and the inequality of tariffs by payment method to end users.

Ms Tucker was in attendance and asked her question. Councillor A Shield, Portfolio Holder for Equality and Inclusion thanked Ms Tucker for her question and provided a response. The Chair advised the Council that the response would be published on the Council's website.

## **6 Petitions**

There were no petitions for consideration.

## **7 Report from the Cabinet**

The Leader of the Council noted that the report from the Cabinet related to items considered by the previous Cabinet on 17 March and 21 April 2021 (for copy see file of Minutes).

The Leader of the Council thanked all Members who sat under the previous term of office for their work and commitment to communities and the County.

## **8 Safe Durham Partnership Plan 2021-2025**

The Council considered a report of the Corporate Director of Neighbourhoods and Climate Change which presented the Safe Durham Partnership Plan 2021-25 for agreement (for copy see file of Minutes).

**Moved** by Councillor Shuttleworth, **Seconded** by Councillor Shield and

**Resolved:**

That the Safe Durham Partnership Plan 2021-25 be approved.

## **9 Adoption of Durham City, Lanchester and Oakenshaw Neighbourhood Plans**

The Council considered a report of the Director of Regeneration, Economy and Growth regarding the adoption of the Durham City, Lanchester and Oakenshaw Neighbourhood Plans (for copy see file of Minutes).

In **Moving** adoption of the Plans Councillor E Scott commended communities for the work undertaken in producing the Plans which reflected the priorities of local people. In **Seconding** adoption of the Plans Councillor Rowlandson added that local communities had enthusiastically engaged in neighbourhood planning.

**Resolved:**

That:

- (a) the Durham City Neighbourhood Plan at Appendix 2 be formally made (adopted) by the Council to become part of the statutory development plan;
- (b) the Lanchester Village Neighbourhood Plan at Appendix 3 be formally made (adopted) by the Council to become part of the statutory development plan;
- (c) the Oakenshaw Neighbourhood Plan at Appendix 4 be formally made (adopted) by the Council to become part of the statutory development plan;
- (d) the Head of Legal and Demographic Services be authorised to satisfy the necessary publicity requirements of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 in respect of Durham City, Lanchester and Oakenshaw Neighbourhood Plans.

## 10 Motions on Notice

**Moved** by Councillor Tinsley, **Seconded** by Councillor Boyes

The Coronavirus (COVID-19): health, care and volunteer workers parking pass has been available to those at the forefront of our fight against the pandemic. This pass provides evidence of entitlement to free parking for those on duty as an NHS staff member, health or social care worker, or NHS Volunteer Responder. This is to enable them to access parking concessions in local authority owned off-street car parks and on-street bays.

Following the publication of the government's roadmap for lifting COVID-19 restrictions, a decision has been taken by government to withdraw the emergency parking pass guidance by 21 June 2021.

This Council resolves to continue and fund the Coronavirus (COVID-19): health, care and volunteer workers parking pass in County Durham for period of 1 year from 23 June 2021. The fight against this terrible disease continues and those in our health and care sector deserve our continued support. Continuation of the pass will assist those who have worked so incredibly hard to save lives. They do not deserve the financial burden of now having to pay for parking when their crucially important work continues against Coronavirus (COVID-19).

Councillor J Shuttleworth, Cabinet Portfolio Holder for Rural Communities and Highways **Moved** the following amendment, **Seconded** by Councillor J Rowlandson:

The Coronavirus (COVID-19): health, care and volunteer workers parking pass has been available to those at the forefront of our fight against the pandemic. This pass provides evidence of entitlement to free parking for those on duty as an NHS staff member, health or social care worker, or NHS Volunteer Responder. This is to enable them to access parking concessions in local authority owned off-street car parks and on-street bays.

Following the publication of the government's roadmap for lifting COVID-19 restrictions, a decision has been taken by government to withdraw the emergency parking pass guidance by 21 June 2021.

~~This Council resolves to continue and fund the Coronavirus (COVID-19): health, care and volunteer workers parking pass in County Durham for period of 1 year from 23 June 2021. The fight against this terrible disease continues and those in our health and care sector deserve our continued support. Continuation of the pass will assist those who have worked so incredibly hard to save lives. They do not deserve the financial burden of now having to pay~~



for parking when their crucially important work continues against Coronavirus (COVID-19).

*This Council resolves to:*

- 1. Write to Government and ask it to extend the scheme until Covid restrictions are lifted.*
- 2. Review parking arrangements for NHS staff in local authority-owned off-street car parks and on-street bays as part of the wider review into car park charging policy.*

Upon a vote being taken the Amendment was **carried** and therefore became the Substantive Motion.

Upon a further vote being taken the Substantive Motion was **carried**.

**Moved** by Councillor Earley, **Seconded** by Councillor Mulholland

This Council undertakes to provide (through the deployment of finance from reserves) support for an energy partnership to provide a clean and green energy solution, capable of servicing the new community hospital and other developments on the former Consett Steelworks; which following consultation can demonstrate the support of the local community.

Councillor M McKeon requested a named vote

### **For the Motion**

Councillors E Adam, R Adcock-Forster, V Andrews, J Atkinson, P Atkinson, A Batey, K Batey, G Binney, D Boyes, J Chaplow, R Charlton-Lainé, R Crute, S Deinali, K Earley, J Elmer, L Fenwick, C Fletcher, J Griffiths, O Gunn, D Hall, C Hampson, A Hanson, S Henig, J Higgins, M Johnson, C Kay, L Kennedy, R Manchester, D McKenna, M McKeon, I McLean, S McMahon, J Miller, D Mulholland, D Nicholls, P Pringle, J Purvis, S Quinn, I Roberts, K Shaw, T Smith, A Surtees, F Tinsley, S Townsend, C Varty, E Waldock, M Wilson, S Wilson, D Wood and R Yorke.

### **Against the Motion**

Councillors B Avery, B Bainbridge, A Bell, C Bell, R Bell, J Blakey, D Brown, L Brown, J Cairns, J Charlton, I Cochrane, J Cosslett, M Currah, T Duffy, K Fantarrow, D Freeman, D Haney, K Hawley, P Heaviside, T Henderson, L Holmes, C Hood, A Hopgood, D Howarth, J Howey, C Hunt, G Hutchinson, A

Jackson, N Jones, P Jopling, C Lines, L Maddison, C Martin, E Mavin, B McAloon, M McGaun, B Moist, J Nicholson, D Oliver, R Ormerod, E Peeke, R Potts, J Quinn, A Reed, M I Roberts, K Robson, K Rooney, J Rowlandson, A Savory, E Scott, P Sexton, A Shield, J Shuttleworth, M Simmons, A Simpson, M Stead, W Stelling, A Sterling, D Stoker, T Stubbs, D Sutton-Lloyd, M Walton, A Watson, M Wilkes and S Zair.

The Motion was **lost**.

**Moved** by Councillor Gunn, **Seconded** by Councillor Surtees

Durham County Council notes that:

On 2nd June the Government published its plans for education recovery after the pandemic. Sir Kevan Collins, appointed by the Prime Minister as the Government's Education Recovery Commissioner had judged that some £15bn was needed to repair the damage done to the nation's pupils because of Covid. The Government's offer of £1.4bn falls far short and is inadequate. In his resignation letter Sir Kevan Collins stated, 'I do not believe it is credible that a successful recovery can be achieved with a programme of support of this size'.

The Education Policy Institute have calculated that £1.4b amounts to £50 per pupil per year. This contrasts with £1,600 per pupil in the USA and £2,500 per pupil in the Netherlands. It means that the total funding committed to education recovery so far amounts to £310 per pupil over 3 years. If Government is committed to a high standard of education for all children regardless of ability to pay then £50 per pupil is simply not enough. The promise of providing increased funds later is a promise too late. Parents and school staff deserve an immediate strong financial commitment that supports high standards and strong mental health for all children and young people.

Furthermore, the Secretary of State for Education has allowed change to the census used to calculate Pupil Premium funding for the most disadvantaged pupils. This means that £150 million is being taken away from young people and schools most in need at a time when they most need it.

Durham County Council resolves:

To write to the Prime Minister and call on the Government to:

1. demonstrate its ambition for our children and young people and give our education system the resources they need to ensure that no child is left behind;

2. recognise that areas of the country with children who are most disadvantaged, like County Durham and the whole of the North East Region, should be given the highest priority and immediate increased financial investment.

Councillor T Henderson, Cabinet Portfolio Holder for Children and Young People's Services **Moved** the following amendment, **Seconded** by Councillor P Sexton, Cabinet Portfolio Holder for Adult and Health Services:

Durham County Council notes that:

On 2nd June the Government published its plans for education recovery after the pandemic. Sir Kevan Collins, appointed by the Prime Minister as the Government's Education Recovery Commissioner had judged that some £15bn was needed to repair the damage done to the nation's pupils because of Covid. The Government's offer of £1.4bn falls far short and is inadequate. In his resignation letter Sir Kevan Collins stated, 'I do not believe it is credible that a successful recovery can be achieved with a programme of support of this size'.

~~The Education Policy Institute have calculated that £1.4b amounts to £50 per pupil per year. This contrasts with £1,600 per pupil in the USA and £2,500 per pupil in the Netherlands. It means that the total funding committed to education recovery so far amounts to £310 per pupil over 3 years. If Government is committed to a high standard of education for all children regardless of ability to pay then £50 per pupil is simply not enough. The promise of providing increased funds later is a promise too late. Parents and school staff deserve an immediate strong financial commitment that supports high standards and strong mental health for all children and young people.~~

~~Furthermore, the Secretary of State for Education has allowed change to the census used to calculate Pupil Premium funding for the most disadvantaged pupils. This means that £150 million is being taken away from young people and schools most in need at a time when they most need it.~~

Durham County Council resolves:

To write to the Prime Minister and call on the Government to:

1. demonstrate its ambition for our children and young people and give our education system the resources they need to ensure that no child is left behind;
2. recognise that areas of the country with children who are most disadvantaged, like County Durham and the whole of the North East

Region, should be given the highest priority and immediate increased financial investment.

Upon a vote being there was an equality of votes. The Chair exercised his casting vote for the Amendment which was **carried** and therefore became the Substantive Motion.

Councillor E Scott, Portfolio Holder for Economy and Partnerships considered that sufficient time had been taken debating the Amendment and **Moved** that it be put to the vote.

The Head of Legal and Democratic Services advised Council that this was a Procedural Motion to put the Amendment to the vote. Under the Council Procedure Rules the Chair needed to take a view as to whether or not the matter had been sufficiently debated. If in the Chair's view the matter had been sufficiently debated the Procedural Motion to put the Amendment to a vote would be voted on.

The Chair informed the Council it was his view the matter had been sufficiently debated and the Procedural Motion was put to a vote.

Upon a vote being taken the Procedural Motion was **carried**

Upon a vote being taken the Amendment was **carried**.

**Moved** by Councillor Yorke, **Seconded** by Councillor S Townsend:

This Council believes that the people of West Auckland, St Helen Auckland and Spring Gardens agree a new bypass would bring relief to the residents of Toft Hill, but will not accept the burden of a very significant increase in traffic through West Auckland and resolves that the A688 bypass must be completed from Oakley Service Station roundabout directly to beyond the Sportsmans Inn at Toft Hill. We call upon the County Council to ensure that a full and proper consultation is carried out and that our communities will not be adversely impacted.

Councillor J Shuttleworth, Cabinet Portfolio Holder for Rural Communities and Highways **Moved** the following amendment, **Seconded** by Councillor R Bell, Deputy Leader and Portfolio Holder for Finance:

This Council believes that the *proposed new Toft Hill bypass (from near the Sportsmans Arms to the junction of the A68 Hartbrigg Lane), provision for which was made in the Levelling Up bid approved by Cabinet on 16 June 2021* ~~people of West Auckland, St Helen Auckland and Spring Gardens agree a new bypass would~~ *will* bring relief to the residents of Toft Hill, *with no*

~~effect on traffic but will not accept the burden of a very significant increase in traffic through West Auckland and commits to working with the people, Parish Council and Councillors of West Auckland, St Helen Auckland and Spring Gardens to prepare the case for a resolves that the A688 bypass for these communities. must be completed from Oakley Service Station roundabout directly to beyond the Sportsmans Inn at Toft Hill. We call upon the County Council to ensure that a full and proper consultation is carried out and that our communities will not be adversely impacted.~~

The Chair informed Council that the time limit of 2½ hours for the meeting had been reached. The Amendment would be put to the vote.

Councillor R Yorke requested a named vote

### **For the Amendment**

Councillors B Avery, B Bainbridge, A Bell, C Bell, R Bell, J Blakey, D Brown, L Brown, J Cairns, J Charlton, I Cochrane, J Cosslett, T Duffy, K Fantarrow, D Freeman, D Haney, K Hawley, P Heaviside, T Henderson, L Holmes, C Hood, A Hopgood, D Howarth, J Howey, C Hunt, G Hutchinson, A Jackson, N Jones, P Jopling, C Lines, L Maddison, C Martin, E Mavin, M McGaun, B Moist, J Nicholson, D Oliver, E Peeke, R Potts, J Quinn, A Reed, K Robson, K Rooney, J Rowlandson, A Savory, E Scott, P Sexton, A Shield, J Shuttleworth, M Simmons, A Simpson, M Stead, W Stelling, A Sterling, D Stoker, T Stubbs, D Sutton-Lloyd, M Walton, A Watson and M Wilkes.

### **Against the Amendment**

Councillors E Adam, R Adcock-Forster, V Andrews, J Atkinson, P Atkinson, A Batey, K Batey, G Binney, D Boyes, J Chaplow, R Charlton-Lainé, R Crute, S Deinali, K Earley, L Fenwick, C Fletcher, J Griffiths, O Gunn, D Hall, C Hampson, A Hanson, S Henig, J Higgins, M Johnson, C Kay, L Kennedy, R Manchester, B McAloon, D McKenna, M McKeon, I McLean, S McMahon, J Miller, D Nicholls, P Pringle, J Purvis, S Quinn, I Roberts, K Shaw, T Smith, A Surtees, F Tinsley, S Townsend, C Varty, E Waldock, M Wilson, S Wilson, D Wood, R Yorke and S Zair.

### **Abstention**

Councillor J Elmer.

The Amendment was **carried** and therefore became the Substantive Motion.

Councillor R Yorke requested a named vote

## **For the Motion**

Councillors B Avery, B Bainbridge, A Bell, C Bell, R Bell, J Blakey, D Brown, L Brown, J Cairns, J Charlton, I Cochrane, J Cosslett, T Duffy, K Fantarrow, D Freeman, D Haney, K Hawley, P Heaviside, T Henderson, L Holmes, C Hood, A Hopgood, D Howarth, J Howey, C Hunt, G Hutchinson, A Jackson, N Jones, P Jopling, C Lines, L Maddison, C Martin, E Mavin, B McAloon, M McGaun, B Moist, J Nicholson, D Oliver, E Peeke, R Potts, J Quinn, A Reed, K Robson, K Rooney, J Rowlandson, A Savory, E Scott, P Sexton, A Shield, J Shuttleworth, M Simmons, A Simpson, M Stead, W Stelling, A Sterling, D Stoker, T Stubbs, D Sutton-Lloyd, F Tinsley, M Walton, A Watson and M Wilkes.

## **Against the Motion**

Councillors E Adam, R Adcock-Forster, V Andrews, J Atkinson, P Atkinson, A Batey, K Batey, G Binney, D Boyes, J Chaplow, R Charlton-Lainé, R Crute, S Deinali, K Earley, J Elmer, L Fenwick, C Fletcher, J Griffiths, O Gunn, D Hall, C Hampson, A Hanson, S Henig, J Higgins, M Johnson, C Kay, L Kennedy, R Manchester, D McKenna, M McKeon, I McLean, S McMahon, J Miller, D Nicholls, P Pringle, J Purvis, S Quinn, I Roberts, K Shaw, T Smith, A Surtees, S Townsend, C Varty, E Waldock, M Wilson, S Wilson, D Wood, R Yorke and S Zair.

The Substantive Motion was **carried**.

## **11 Questions from Members**

There were no questions from Members.